

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/785,558	HULSE, GEORGE R.	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** Non-Final Rejection

(1) Jacob Y. Choi. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David W. Nagle, Jr.. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 22 November 2005 **Time:** 5:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*claims rejections under 35 USC § 102 & 35 USC § 103*

**Claims discussed:**

1, 16, & 19

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Grenda et al. (USPN 6,948,840) & Steen et al. (US 2005/0169002)*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After examiner has further searched and examined amendments, filed on 9/19/2005, discussed newly found prior art documents, listed above, over independent claim 1 and their dependent claims. Also discussed allowable claims, independent claims 16 & 19. Accordingly, applicant proposed the following claim amendments, filed 11/22/2005, to expedite allowance of the application. .